

GB-CENT

Gradient Boosted Categorical Embedding and Numerical Trees

April 12, 2017

Liangjie Hong
Head of Data Science, Etsy Inc.

Liangjie Hong

- **Head of Data Science**
 - **Etsy Inc.** in NYC, NY (2016. – Present)
 - Search & Discovery; Personalization and Recommendation; Computational Advertising
- **Research Scientist**
 - Senior Research Scientist**
 - Senior Manager of Research**
 - **Yahoo Research** in Sunnyvale, CA (2013 – 2016)
 - Leading science efforts for personalization and search sciences
- Published papers in **SIGIR, WWW, KDD, CIKM, AAI, WSDM, RecSys** and **ICML**
- **WWW 2011 Best Poster Paper Award**
WSDM 2013 Best Paper Nominated
RecSys 2014 Best Paper Award
- Program committee members in **KDD, WWW, SIGIR, WSDM, AAI, EMNLP, ICWSM, ACL, CIKM, IJCAI** and various journal reviewers
- PhD in Computer Science from Lehigh University (2013)

About This Paper

- Authors

Qian Zhao, PhD Student from **University of Minnesota**

Yue Shi, Research Scientist at **Facebook**, formerly at **Yahoo Research**

Liangjie Hong, Head of Data Science at **Etsy Inc.**, formerly at **Yahoo Research**

- Paper Venue

Full Research Paper in The 26th International World Wide Web Conference, 2017 (**WWW 2017**)

High-Level Takeaways

- **A new family of models to handle categorical features and numerical features well by combining embedding models and tree-based models**
- **A simple learning algorithm that can be easily extended from existing data mining and machine learning toolkits**
- **State-of-the-art performance on major datasets**

Why we need GB-CENT

Why we need GB-CENT

Motivations

- **Real-World Data**

Categorical features: user ids, item ids, words, document ids, ...

Numerical features: dwell time, average purchase prices, click-through-rate,...

Why we need GB-CENT

Motivations

- **Real-World Data**

Categorical features: user ids, item ids, words, document ids, ...

Numerical features: dwell time, average purchase prices, click-through-rate,...

- **Ideas**

Converting categorical features into numerical ones (e.g., statistics, embedding methods, topic models...)

Converting numerical features into categorical ones (e.g., bucketizing, binary codes, sigmoid transformation...)

Why we need GB-CENT

Motivations

Two Families of Powerful Practical Data Mining and Machine Learning Tools

- **Tree-based Models**

Decision Trees, Random Forest, Gradient Boosted Decision Trees...

- **Matrix-based Embedding Models**

Matrix Factorization, Factorization Machines...

Why we need GB-CENT: Tree-based Models


Gradient Boosted Decision Trees (GBDT)

- Tree Ensembles
- Learning Trees in Additive Fashion
- Utilize Gradient Boosting

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = \sum_i l(\hat{y}_i, y_i) + \sum_k \Omega(f_k)$$

$$\text{where } \Omega(f) = \gamma T + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \|w\|^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^n l(y_i, \hat{y}_i^{(t-1)} + f_t(\mathbf{x}_i)) + \Omega(f_t)$$



Why we need GB-CENT: Tree-based Models

- **Pros:**



Interpretability for simple trees

Effectiveness in certain tasks: IR ranking models

Simple and easy to train

Handle numerical features well

...



Why we need GB-CENT: Tree-based Models

- **Pros:**

Interpretability for simple trees

Effectiveness in certain tasks: IR ranking models

Simple and easy to train

Handle numerical features well



...

- **Cons:**

Need one-hot-encoding to handle categorical features and therefore cannot easily handle features with large cardinality*

For complex trees, features might appear multiple times in a tree – hard to explain

...



Why we need GB-CENT: Embedding-based Models

Factorization Machines

	Feature vector \mathbf{x}															Target y						
$\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$	1	0	0	...	1	0	0	0	...	0.3	0.3	0.3	0	...	13	0	0	0	0	...	5	$y^{(1)}$
$\mathbf{x}^{(2)}$	1	0	0	...	0	1	0	0	...	0.3	0.3	0.3	0	...	14	1	0	0	0	...	3	$y^{(2)}$
$\mathbf{x}^{(3)}$	1	0	0	...	0	0	1	0	...	0.3	0.3	0.3	0	...	16	0	1	0	0	...	1	$y^{(2)}$
$\mathbf{x}^{(4)}$	0	1	0	...	0	0	1	0	...	0	0	0.5	0.5	...	5	0	0	0	0	...	4	$y^{(3)}$
$\mathbf{x}^{(5)}$	0	1	0	...	0	0	0	1	...	0	0	0.5	0.5	...	8	0	0	1	0	...	5	$y^{(4)}$
$\mathbf{x}^{(6)}$	0	0	1	...	1	0	0	0	...	0.5	0	0.5	0	...	9	0	0	0	0	...	1	$y^{(5)}$
$\mathbf{x}^{(7)}$	0	0	1	...	0	0	1	0	...	0.5	0	0.5	0	...	12	1	0	0	0	...	5	$y^{(6)}$
	A	B	C	...	TI	NH	SW	ST	...	TI	NH	SW	ST	...	Time	TI	NH	SW	ST	...		
	User				Movie				Other Movies rated					Last Movie rated								


$$\hat{y}(\mathbf{x}) := w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle x_i x_j \quad (1)$$

where the model parameters that have to be estimated are:

$$w_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \quad (2)$$


And $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the dot product of two vectors of size k :

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle := \sum_{f=1}^k v_{i,f} \cdot v_{j,f} \quad (3)$$




Why we need GB-CENT: Embedding-based Models

- **Pros:**
 - Predictive power
 - Effectiveness in certain tasks: recommender systems
 - Handle categorical features well through one-hot-encoding
 - ...



Why we need GB-CENT: Embedding-based Models

- **Pros:**
 - Predictive power
 - Effectiveness in certain tasks: recommender systems
 - Handle categorical features well through one-hot-encoding
 - ...
- **Cons:**
 - Numerical features usually need preprocessing and hard to handle.
 - Hard to interpret in general
 - ...



Why we need GB-CENT

Tree-based models are good at numerical features.

Embedding models are good at categorical features.

Why not combine them two?

What is GB-CENT

What is GB-CENT

In a nutshell, GB-CENT is Gradient Boosted Categorical Embedding and Numerical Trees, which combines

- **Matrix-based Embedding Models**
Handle large-cardinality categorical features...
- **Tree-based Models**
Handle numerical features...

What is GB-CENT

In a nutshell, GB-CENT is Gradient Boosted Categorical Embedding and Numerical Trees, which combines

- **Factorization Machines**
Handle large-cardinality categorical features...
- **Gradient Boosted Decision Trees**
Handle numerical features...

What is GB-CENT

$$y(\hat{x}) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k w_{a_i}}_{bias} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{a_i \in U(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)^T \left(\sum_{a_i \in I(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)}_{factor} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k T_{a_i}(b)}_{CAT-NT}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{CAT-E}$

CAT-E (Factorization Machines)

- Bias term for each categorical feature
- Embedding for each categorical feature
- Interactions between meaningful categorical groups
e.g., users, items, age groups, gender...

No numerical features

What is GB-CENT

$$y(\hat{x}) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k w_{a_i}}_{bias} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{a_i \in U(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)^T \left(\sum_{a_i \in I(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)}_{factor} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k T_{a_i}(b)}_{CAT-NT}$$

$CAT-E$

CAT-NT (Gradient Boosted Decision Trees)

- One tree per categorical feature (potentially)
- For each tree, the training data is **all data instances with numerical features containing this particular categorical feature.**

No categorical features

What is GB-CENT

$$y(\hat{x}) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k w_{a_i}}_{\text{bias}} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{a_i \in U(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)^T \left(\sum_{a_i \in I(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)}_{\text{factor}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k T_{a_i}(b)}_{\text{CAT-NT}}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{CAT-E}}$

CAT-E (Factorization Machines)

- *generalizes* categorical features by embedding them into low-dimensional space.

CAT-NT (Gradient Boosted Decision Trees)

- *memorizes* each categorical feature's peculiarities.

What is GB-CENT

$$y(\hat{x}) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k w_{a_i}}_{bias} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{a_i \in U(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)^T \left(\sum_{a_i \in I(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)}_{factor} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k T_{a_i}(b)}_{CAT-NT}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{CAT-E}$

Different from GBDT:

- The number of trees in GB-CENT depends on the cardinality of categorical features in the data set, while GBDT has a pre-specified number of trees M .
- Each tree in GB-CENT only takes numerical features as input while GBDT takes in both categorical and numerical features.
- Learning a tree for GBDT uses all N instances in the data set while the tree for a categorical feature in GB-CENT only involves its supporting instances.

What is GB-CENT

$$y(\hat{x}) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k w_{a_i}}_{bias} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{a_i \in U(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)^T \left(\sum_{a_i \in I(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)}_{factor} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k T_{a_i}(b)}_{CAT-NT}$$

$CAT-E$

Training GB-CENT:

- Train CAT-E part firstly using Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)
- Train CAT-NT part secondly

What is GB-CENT

$$y(\hat{x}) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k w_{a_i}}_{bias} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{a_i \in U(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)^T \left(\sum_{a_i \in I(a)} Q_{a_i} \right)}_{factor} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k T_{a_i}(b)}_{CAT-NT}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{CAT-E}$

Training GB-CENT:

- Train CAT-E part firstly using Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)
- Train CAT-NT part secondly
 - 1) Sort categorical features by their support (how many data instances)
 - 2) Check whether we meet *minTreeSupport*
 - 3) Use *maxTreeDepth* and *minNodeSplit* to fit a tree
 - 4) Use *minTreeGain* to decide whether keeping a tree

How does GB-CENT perform

How does GB-CENT perform

- **Datasets**

MovieLens

Statistics: 240K users, 33K movies, 22M instances, 5 ratings

Categorical features: user_id, item_id, genre, language, country, grade

Numerical features: year, runTime, imdbVotes, imdbRating, metaScore

RedHat

Statistics: 151K customers, 7 categories, 2M instances, binary response

Categorical features: people_id, activity_category

Numerical features: activity characteristics

How does GB-CENT perform

- **Datasets**

- MovieLens**

- Evaluation Metric: Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)

- RedHat**

- Evaluation Metric: Area Under the Curve (AUC)

- 80% of train, 10% of validation and 10% of testing**

- We also compare empirical training time.*

How does GB-CENT perform

- **Baselines**

- GB-CENT variants:**

- 1) CAT-E
 - 2) CAT-NT
 - 3) GB-CENT

- GBDT variants:**

- 1) GBDT-OH: GBDT + One-hot-encoding for categorical features
 - 2) GBDT-CE: Fit CAT-E firstly and then feed into GBDT

- FM variants:**

- 1) FM-S: Transform numerical features by sigmoid and feed into FM
 - 2) FM-D: Transform numerical features by discretizing them and feed into FM

- SVDFeature variants:**

- 1) SVDFeature-S: Transform numerical features by sigmoid and feed into SVDFeature
 - 2) SVDFeature-D: Transform numerical features by discretizing them and feed into SVDFeature

All latent dimensionality is 20. For GB-CENT, $minTreeSupport = 50$, $minTreeGain = 0.0$, $minNodeSplit = 50$ and $maxTreeDepth = 3$.

How does GB-CENT perform

Data Set	Metric	GBDT-OH	GBDT-CE	SVDFeature-S	SVDFeature-D	FM-S	FM-D	CAT-E	CAT-NT	GB-CENT
MovieLens	RMSE	0.883 (0.007) -%1.8	0.863 (0.006) +%0.4	0.877 (0.009) -%1.1	0.867 (0.006) +%0.0	0.913 (0.024) -%5.3	0.888 (0.005) -%2.4	0.886 (0.011) -%2.1	0.900 (0.006) -%3.8	0.867 (0.006)
	Time (s)	282 +1.08	1034 +6.65	68 -%49.6	66 -%51.1	73 -%45.9	60 -\$55.5	77 -%42.9	54 -%60.0	135
RedHat	AUC	0.955 (0.0005) -%3.6	0.981 (0.0003) -%1.0	0.975 (0.0002) -%1.6	0.976 (0.0003) -%1.5	0.986 (0.0009) -%0.5	0.987 (0.0003) -%0.4	0.967 (0.0002) -%2.4	0.942 (0.0006) -%4.9	0.991 (0.00006)
	Time (s)	857 +%35.8	3140 +3.97	130 -%79.3	241 -%61.8	204 -%67.6	181 -%71.3	561 -%11.0	98 -%84.4	631

How does GB-CENT perform

Data Set	Metric	GBDT-OH	GBDT-CE	SVDFeature-S	SVDFeature-D	FM-S	FM-D	CAT-E	CAT-NT	GB-CENT
MovieLens	RMSE	0.883 (0.007) -%1.8	0.863 (0.006) +%0.4	0.877 (0.009) -%1.1	0.867 (0.006) +%0.0	0.913 (0.024) -%5.3	0.888 (0.005) -%2.4	0.886 (0.011) -%2.1	0.900 (0.006) -%3.8	0.867 (0.006)
	Time (s)	282 +1.08	1034 +6.65	68 -%49.6	66 -%51.1	73 -%45.9	60 -%55.5	77 -%42.9	54 -%60.0	135
RedHat	AUC	0.955 (0.0005) -%3.6	0.981 (0.0003) -%1.0	0.975 (0.0002) -%1.6	0.976 (0.0003) -%1.5	0.986 (0.0009) -%0.5	0.987 (0.0003) -%0.4	0.967 (0.0002) -%2.4	0.942 (0.0006) -%4.9	0.991 (0.00006)
	Time (s)	857 +%35.8	3140 +3.97	130 -%79.3	241 -%61.8	204 -%67.6	181 -%71.3	561 -%11.0	98 -%84.4	631

How does GB-CENT perform

Table 3: The effect of minTreeSupport and maxTreeDepth on MovieLens data set. minTreeSupport is held to be 50 when varying maxTreeDepth; maxTreeDepth is held to be 3 when varying minTreeSupport.

minTree-Support	RMSE	maxTree-Depth	RMSE
10	0.902	2	0.901
50	0.906	3	0.906
100	0.917	5	0.918
200	0.925	8	0.924
300	0.936	10	0.929
400	0.943	15	0.950

How does GB-CENT perform

Table 3: The effect of minTreeSupport and maxTreeDepth on MovieLens data set. minTreeSupport is held to be 50 when varying maxTreeDepth; maxTreeDepth is held to be 3 when varying minTreeSupport.

minTree-Support	RMSE	maxTree-Depth	RMSE
10	0.902	2	0.901
50	0.906	3	0.906
100	0.917	5	0.918
200	0.925	8	0.924
300	0.936	10	0.929
400	0.943	15	0.950

Main takeaway: Learn many shallow small trees

How does GB-CENT perform

Table 4: The effect of tree regularization on MovieLens data set. minTreeSupport=50, maxTreeDepth=3.

Regularization	minTreeGain	Number of Accepted Trees	RMSE
AAT	N.A.	7926	0.905
VSLR	0	7606	0.906
	1	7559	0.913
	3	7441	0.921
	5	6737	0.928
	8	6375	0.945


How does GB-CENT perform

Table 4: The effect of tree regularization on MovieLens data set. minTreeSupport=50, maxTreeDepth=3.

Regularization	minTreeGain	Number of Accepted Trees	RMSE
AAT	N.A.	7926	0.905
VSLR	0	7606	0.906
	1	7559	0.913
	3	7441	0.921
	5	6737	0.928
	8	6375	0.945

Main takeaway: Learn many shallow small trees

How does GB-CENT perform



Summary

GB-CENT

- Combine Factorization Machines (handle categorical features) and GBDT (handle numerical features) together
- Combine interpretable results and high predictive power
- Achieve high performance in real-world datasets

Questions